# Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-09 12:39:22

2. Agency: 007

3. Bureau: 97

4. Name of this Investment: DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 007-97-01-01-01-0178-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle
  - Planning
  - Full Acquisition
  - Operations and Maintenance
  - Mixed Life Cycle
  - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? \*
- 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

The system has been approved under the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) Financial Management Transformation Team (FMTT) as a joint United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) and Air Force project, using enterprise architecture to replace the Automated Business Services System (ABSS), General Accounting Finance System (GAFS), the GAFS Rehost (GAFS-R), and Integrated Accounts Payable System (IAPS). The system will use a Financial Systems Integration Office (FSIO)approved Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) package as the core, and will be compliant with the Office of management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-123 and A-127, Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Act, Federal Financial Management Integrity Act (FFMIA) and Business Transformation Agency's current Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) in coordination with the Investment Review Boards (IRBs) and the Defense Business System Management Committee (DBSMC). The program consists of multiple increments having one or more spirals (breakout included below).

- a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned)alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.
- 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? \* a.lf "yes," what was the date of this approval? \*
- 10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?
  - Name: \*
  - Phone Number: \*
  - Email: \*
- 11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? \*
  - Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## 12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

- a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): \*
  - o computer system security requirement;
  - internal control system requirement;
  - o core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
  - Federal accounting standard;
  - U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
  - this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
  - Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.	Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)													
	(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)													
		PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total				
	Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	Operations & Maintenanc e:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
		G	Sovernment F	TE Costs she	ould not be in	ncluded in the	e amounts pr	ovided above	<b>)</b> .					
	Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
	TOTAL(incl uding FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

#### Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

				Table 1:	Contracts/	Task Orde	rs Table				
Contract or Task Order	Type of Contract/ Task	Has the contract been	If so what is the date	Start date of Contract/	End date of Contract/	Total Value of Contract/	Is this an Interagen cy	Is it performa nce	Competit ively awarded	What, if any, alternativ	Is EVM in the contract
Number	Order (In accordan ce with FAR Part 16)	awarded (Y/N)	of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Task Order	Task Order	Task Order (M)	Acquisiti on? (Y/N)	based? (Y/N)	? (Y/N)	e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	(Y/N)
FA8770-0 6-F-8001	FFP - System Integratio n services to support DEAMS Increment 1	Y	2006-02-0	2006-02-0	2011-02-0	\$93.4	*	*	*	*	*
FA7014-0 9-D-0005	FFP - Program Managem ent Support Services for the Functiona	Y	2009-10-0	2009-10-0	2010-10-3	\$2.9	*	*	*	*	*
	Managem ent Office										
GS35F52 02H/FA44 52-08-F-0 012	FFP - DCBS System Software Maintena nce	Y	2008-04-0	2008-04-0	2010-09-3	\$1.1	*	*	*	*	*
BM0002/5	FFP-Funti onal/Deve lopmental Help Desk Support	Y	2007-10-0	2007-10-0	2012-09-3	\$5.0	*	*	*	*	*
W91QUZ- 06-A-000 2	FFP - Oracle Tutor License Maintanc e	Υ	2009-10-0	2009-10-0	2010-09-3 0	\$0.3	*	*	•	*	*
FA8770-1 0-F-8000	FFP - Oracle Developm ent and Productio n License and Software Maintena nce	Y	2009-10-0	2009-10-0	2010-09-3	\$0.3	٠	٠	٠	*	*
FA8771-0 4-D-0003	FFP - Sun Servers	Υ	2009-10-0	2009-10-0	2010-09-1	\$0.0	*	*	*	*	*
FA8771-0 4-D-0007	FFP - TOAD	Υ	2009-10-0	2009-10-0	2010-09-3	\$0.0	*	*	*	*	*

	Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table												
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordan ce with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagen cy Acquisiti on? (Y/N)	Is it performa nce based? (Y/N)	Competiti vely awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternativ e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)		
HC104 5-A-40 KH0	01- Globa	- Y al nge ) ort	2009-10	-0 2009-10- 1	0 2010-09- 0	1 \$0.0	*	*	*	*	*		
FA445 8-F-00	-	S t ty nen File	2009-10	-0 2009-10- 1	0 2010-03- 1	3 \$0.2	٠	*	*	*	*		

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?  $^{\ast}$ 

a. If "yes," what is the date? \*

#### Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

		Tab	ole 1: Performand	ce Information Ta	ıble		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2009	Reshaping the Defense Enterprise	*	*	DEAMS software for Increment 1, Spiral 2 will be fully tested and validated against documented DEAMS requirements	DEAMS software for Increment 1, Spiral 2 will be fully tested and validated against documented DEAMS requirements	100% of all DEAMS software for Increment 1, Spiral 2 will be validated against documented DEAMS requirements	Program was restructured, and the revised program schedule for Spiral 2 was moved to FY10
2009	Achieving Unity of Effort	•	•	Accelerate timeliness of financial information to users	Current processes are heavily dependent on legacy reporting systems and interface conditions	Projected 700 DEAMS Increment 1, Spiral 2 end-users will be able to check reports and receive financial information on demand insuring the validity of the financial information for organizationsa pproximately 3,600 documents	Program was restructured, and the revised program schedule for Spiral 2 was moved to FY10
2009	Achieving Unity of Effort	*	*	Prepare DEAMS Increment 1, Spiral 2 training program for end users	DEAMS Increment 1 Spiral II training developed	Goal is to have all end users trained.	Program was restructured, and the revised program schedule for Spiral 2 was moved to FY10
2009	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	*	*	DEAMS Increment 1, Spiral 2 will be in full development at USTRANSCOM , air Mobility command, and all projected users affiliated with Scott AFB (Approx 4400 users)	Expand user-base with DEAMS Increment 1. Many accounting and finance systems necessitate a requirement to perform reconciliations	Include DEAMS increment 1, Spiral 2 users for Scott AFB. There will be an 85% reduction in reconciliations by integrating COTS solution.	Program was restructured and the revised program schedule for Spiral 2 was moved to FY10.
2009	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	*	•	DEAMS Increment 2 will be in full development for all USTRANSCOM (Air Mobility Command, Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command, and Military Sealift Command) and	Expand user-base with DEAMS Increment 2	Include DEAMS Increment 2, Spiral 3 users for Scott AFB	Program Acquisition Strategy realigned deployment of Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command, Military Sealift Command, and the remaining bases to FY13

		Tab	ole 1: Performan	ce Information Ta	ıble		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				all remaining Air Mobility Command bases			
2009	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	*	*	, Air Mobility Command (AMC), and all tenant	Expand use of DEAMS Increment 1 to cover USTRANSCOM , Air Mobility Command (AMC), and all tenant organizations at Scott AFB	Gain feedback from customer during testing process before start of implementing Increment 1, Spiral 2 at USTRANSCOM , Air Mobility Command (AMC) and all tenant organizations at Scott AFB	DEAMS Increment 1, Spiral 1 obtained feedback that will be used for lessons learned for Increment 1, Spiral 2
2009	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	*	*	Provide and operational system that meets DEAMS requirements for system users at USTRANSCOM and Air Mobility Command bases	No metric in place	USTRANSCOM requirements will be met before government acceptance of Increment 1, Spiral 2	Program was restructured, and the revised program schedule for Spiral 2 was moved to FY10
2010	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	*	•	Implement a strategy to migrate "a blueprint for DOD Business Transformation" into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) for USTRANSCOM , USAF, and DFAS	DEAMS is 100% compliant with BEA 5.0	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliant with BEA 6.0	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2010	Reshaping the Defense Enterprise	*	*	Ensure the future Defense financial manager is a trusted partner in the business of Defense, and identify system security requirements	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance (IA), Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval for the annual DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2010	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	*	*	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	FY09 Assertion	Annual Assertion	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2010	Achieving Unity of Effort	*	*	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial	DEAMS is striving toward Chief Financial	DEAMS annual assertion to Joint Financial	Results will not be determined until end of

		Tab	ole 1: Performano	ce Information Ta	able		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				Officers Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act requirements	Officers Act compliance with the assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	fiscal year
2011	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	•	•	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) for USTRANSCOM , USAF, and DFAS	DEAMS is 100% compliant with BEA 6.0	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliance with BEA's latest revision	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2011	Reshaping the Defense Enterprise	*	*	Ensure the future Defense financial manager is a trusted partner in the business of Defense and identify system security requirements	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliance and obtains approval for the annual DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2011	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	·	٠	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	DEAMS has been asserted to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2011	Achieving Unity of Effort	*	*	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act requirements	DEAMS is striving toward Chief Financial Officers Act compliance with the assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2012	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	•	•	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) for USTRANSCOM , USAF, and DFAS	DEAMS is 100% compliant with BEA 6.0	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliant with BEA's latest revision	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year

		Tab	ole 1: Performano	e Information Ta	able		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2012	Reshaping the Defense Enterprise	•	•	Ensure the future Defense financial manager is a trusted partner in the business of Defense and identify system security requirements	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliance and obtains approval for the annual DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2012	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	*	*	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	DEAMS has been asserted to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2012	Achieving Unity of Effort	*	*	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act requirements	DEAMS is striving toward Chief Financial Officers Act compliance with the assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2013	Operationalizing the Defense Strategy	*	*	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) for USTRANSCOM , USAF, and DFAS	DEAMS is 100% compliant with BEA 6.0	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliant with BEA's latest revision	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2013	Reshaping the Defense Enterprise	*	*	Ensure the future Defense financial manager is a trusted partner in the business of Defense and identify system security requirements	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliance and obtains approval for the annual DOD Information Assurance (IA) and Certification and Accreditation (C and A)	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year
2013	Reorienting Capabilities and Forces	•	•	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	DEAMS has been asserted to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year

		Tab	ole 1: Performan	ce Information Ta	ıble		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
						(DoDAF)	
2013	Achieving Unity of Effort	•	*	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act requirements	DEAMS is striving toward Chief Financial Officers Act compliance with the assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Internal Controls	Results will not be determined until end of fiscal year

### Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

	1. Compa	arison of Actu	al Work Comple	eted and Actua	l Costs to Curi	ent Approved I	Baseline	
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Technology Demonstratio n, Blueprinting	\$6.7	\$6.7	2006-02-03	2006-03-28	2007-01-17	2007-02-13	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1 Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE), Commitment Accounting	\$7.0	\$7.0	2006-09-19	2006-09-19	2007-01-27	2007-02-13	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Testing	\$1.3	\$1.3	2006-10-27	2006-10-27	2007-05-03	2007-05-07	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Go-live, Training and Change Management, Certification and Accreditation (C and A) and System Engineering Program Management (SEPM) - Technology Demonstratio n/Developmen tal testbed	\$5.1	\$5.1	2006-10-27	2006-10-27	2007-05-07	2007-07-27	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 2, Core Accounting Functionality - Initial Operating Capability (IOC)	\$32.6	\$30.0	2007-02-01	2007-03-15	2010-03-25		100.00%	90.00%
Post Technology Demonstratio n support	\$13.5	\$9.4	2007-06-19	2007-06-01	2011-02-02		70.00%	69.00%
Enterprise Support Services	\$7.6	\$6.1	2006-02-03	2006-02-03	2011-02-02		80.00%	77.00%
Hardware/Soft ware (HW/SW) Sustainment and	\$1.9	\$1.3	2007-02-02	2007-02-02	2011-02-02		75.00%	72.00%

	1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline											
Description of Milestones		Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date		Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete				
maintenance												
Increment 2	*	*			2017-01-31		0.00%	0.00%				

<sup>\* -</sup> Indicates data is redacted.